


REMARKS

Applicant responds to the Office Action of June 15, 2005, by electing the prosecution of the claims directed to Invention II, the same being claims 1-11 and 16-20. Accordingly, Applicant cancels claims 21 and 22 and substitutes therefore newly submitted claims 23 and 24, both of which are also directed to Invention II.

Applicant again advances the arguments set forth in the Remarks section of the response of Amendment A, earlier filed herein. Those arguments are particularly relevant to the invention of the claims that remain in this application, including newly advanced claims 23 and 24. These claims all distinguish over the prior art, and particularly any analogous art that would be relevant to the invention herein.

In light of the foregoing amendments, favorable action on this case is believed to be in order and the same is most earnestly and respectfully solicited. Should the Examiner deem a telephonic conference to be beneficial in resolving any remaining matters, the same would be greatly appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,



Ray L. Weber, Reg. No. 26,519
Renner, Kenner, Grieve, Bobak, Taylor & Weber
First National Tower - Fourth Floor
Akron, Ohio 44308-1456
Telephone: (330) 376-1242
Facsimile: (330) 376-9646
E-mail: rlweber@rennerkenner.com

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Dated: September 7, 2005